2017 CAPSEE CONFERENCE

MAKING THE RIGHT INVESTMENTS IN COLLEGE



Should Student Employment Be Subsidized? Conditional Counterfactuals and the Outcomes of Work-Study Participation

Judith Scott-Clayton & Veronica Minaya Teachers College Columbia University

The research reported here was supported by the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, through Grant R305C110011 to Teachers College, Columbia University

April 6–7, 2017 | Washington, DC

Outline

- Motivation
- The Federal Work-Study Program
- Conceptual Framework
- Propensity Score Estimation
- Main Results
- Discussion



Motivation: Trends in Student Employment



- Student employment today is part of the typical undergraduate experience
- Is this economically optimal? Should policy encourage, discourage, or be uninvolved?

The Federal Work-Study Program

- Federal Work-Study is a significant source of student aid
 - Provides ~\$1 billion in wage subsidies to over 700,000 students per year (covers up to 75% of wages)
 - 83% allocated to 4-year colleges and 17% to 2-year colleges
 - Far more students qualify than receive FWS; institutions have discretion
 - About 10% of FT undergraduates participate
- FWS jobs differ from other student jobs in important ways
 - 84% on-campus (compared to 8% of non-FWS jobs)
 - Average 11 hrs/wk (compared to 18 hrs/wk for non-FWS jobs)
 - 60% clerical/manager/professional (vs. 40% for non-FWS jobs)
- Even thought more students think FWS has negative effect (24%) than positive effect (16%) on academics, this ratio is much worse for non-FWS jobs (33% negative to 11% positive)



Conceptual Framework

- Overall subsidy impact is a combination of effects on students who were going to be working anyway and students who were induced to work
- Effects on academic outcomes and future labor market outcomes may be very different:
- Compared to other working students: FWS jobs may be more complementary with academic focus, and also may be more flexible and come at lower cost to academic outcomes
- Compared to not working at all: FWS may help students by giving them valuable work experience





Propensity Score Estimation

Propensity score estimation

- Data: full-time dependent undergrads at 4-year colleges, using two waves of the nationally-representative Beginning Postsecondary Students survey (BPS:96/01 and BPS:04/09)
- Methodology:
 - Match similar FWS participants and non-participants on the estimated probability of receiving FWS
 - FWS participants compared against two separate counterfactuals: 1) most similar working students, and 2) most similar non-working students.





Results

Main results

 About half of work-study participants probably would have worked anyway and work less because of the program; the other half work more hours because of the program ()



GPA in Year 1



Number of months enrolled through year 6



Earned a BA within 6 years







Earned a BA within 6 years

Discussion

- Results suggest that work-study is an effective form of student aid
- Effects could be augmented by modifying the allocation formula to better target lower-income and lower-scoring students
- Job characteristics make a difference
- Results do imply that main effects of student employment are negative for academics but potentially positive for employment outcomes





Thank you!

The End.

For more information on CAPSEE, visit <u>http://capseecenter.org/</u>

Index of results



capsee

15

Sample descriptives: Background characteristics

	BPS 1996-2001 & BPS 2004-2009 Sample Characteristics						
Variable	Total	FWS Recipients	Working non- recipients	Non-working students			
Student Background and Institutional Charac	cteristics						
Female (in %)	55.4	62.0	55.1	53.4			
White, non-Hispanic (in %)	72.6	69.4	74.6	71.6			
Age at entry (in years)	18.4	18.3	18.5	18.3			
Parental Income (In 2003 Constant Prices)	\$76,216	\$52,513	\$74,311	\$86,678			
High School GPA ≥ 3.00 (in %)	81.8	85.1	78.9	83.8			
Derived SAT Score	1026	1033	1002	1051			
Received any Pell Grant (in %)	26.0	46.1	24.0	21.2			
First Institution: Public Institution (in %)	61.8	33.3	67.5	65.5			
First Institution: Non/Least selective (in %)	33.6	29.7	40.1	27.7			
First Institution: Selective (in %)	39.1	39.6	40.0	38.0			
First Institution: Very selective (in %)	27.3	30.7	20.0	34.3			
First Institution: Tuition and fees	\$9,562	\$14,478	\$7,940	\$9,621			
First institution, total enrollment	14006	9279	14297	15338			

capsee

Table 1. Main Results

Variable	Model 1		Model 2: FWS vs. Working Students		Model 3: FWS vs Non-Working Students	
	В	S.E.	В	S.E.	В	S.E.
Any employment during school year Total hours worked per week in year 1	0.478 6.236	(.01) *** (.336) ***	-1.539	(.375) ***	15.041	(.24) ***





Table 1. Main Results

					Model 3: FWS vs		
Variable			Model 2: FWS vs.		Non-Working		
	Model 1		Working Students		Students		
	В	S.E.	В	S.E.	В	S.E.	
Any employment during school year	0.478	(.01) ***					
Total hours worked per week in year 1	6.236	(.336) ***	-1.539	(.375) ***	15.041	(.24) ***	
GPA in year 1	-0.027	(.022)	-0.027	(.027)	-0.055	(.028) **	
Still enrolled or attained during year 2	0.011	(.006) *	0.012	(.008)	0.005	(.007)	
Number of months enrolled through year 6	0.503	(.321)	0.852	(.405) **	0.003	(.387)	
Earned a BA within 4 years	0.011	(.014)	0.029	(.016) *	-0.005	(.018)	
Earned a BA within 6 years	0.032	(.013) **	0.052	(.016) ***	0.014	(.016)	
Enrolled or employed in year 6	0.015	(.008) **	0.011	(.009)	0.023	(.01) **	
Enrolled (graduate or undergraduate) in year 6	-0.006	(.013)	-0.010	(.016)	-0.008	(.017)	
Employed in year 6, of those not enrolled	0.024	(.011) **	0.014	(.013)	0.037	(.014) **	
Employed in year 6	0.021	(.014)	0.021	(.016)	0.031	(.018) *	
Log of total earnings from current job in year 6	-0.023	(.021)	-0.028	(.024)	-0.018	(.027)	
Enrollment in graduate school in year 6	0.007	(.011)	0.007	(.013)	0.001	(.014)	



Table 2 High and Low SAT

Variable Model 1: Higher SAT		Model 1: Lower SAT			
	В	S.E.	В	S.E.	
Any employment during school year	0.503	(.015) ***	0.429	(.014) ***	
Total hours worked per week in year 1	6.396	(.438) ***	5.551	(.537) ***	
GPA in year 1	-0.015	(.027)	-0.033	(.034)	
Still enrolled or attained during year 2	0.008	(.007)	0.015	(.011)	
Number of months enrolled through year 6	0.180	(.368)	1.090	(.565) *	
Earned a BA within 4 years	0.005	(.02)	0.022	(.02)	
Earned a BA within 6 years	0.013	(.016)	0.068	(.021) ***	
Enrolled or employed in year 6	0.017	(.011)	0.023	(.011) **	
Enrolled (graduate or undergraduate) in year 6	0.003	(.019)	-0.020	(.019)	
Employed in year 6, of those not enrolled	0.033	(.016) **	0.026	(.016)	
Employed in year 6	0.014	(.02)	0.043	(.021) **	
Log of total earnings from current job in year 6	-0.022	(.031)	-0.028	(.03)	
Enrollment in graduate school in year 6	0.007	(.018)	0.014	(.014)	

capsee

Table 3 High and Low Income

Variable	Model 1: High Income		Model 1: Low Income			
	В	S.E.	В	S.E.		
Any employment during school year	0.502	(.013) ***	0.447	(.015) ***		
Total hours worked per week in year 1	5.964	(.439) ***	6.529	(.543) ***		
GPA in year 1	-0.055	(.03) *	0.007	(.035)		
Still enrolled or attained during year 2	0.006	(.007)	0.013	(.011)		
Number of months enrolled through year 6	-0.087	(.405)	0.873	(.551)		
Earned a BA within 4 years	0.003	(.02)	0.020	(.021)		
Earned a BA within 6 years	0.020	(.017)	0.048	(.021) **		
Enrolled or employed in year 6	0.015	(.01)	0.023	(.012) *		
Enrolled (graduate or undergraduate) in year 6	-0.019	(.019)	0.010	(.02)		
Employed in year 6, of those not enrolled	0.018	(.015)	0.030	(.018) *		
Employed in year 6	0.034	(.02) *	0.013	(.022)		
Log of total earnings from current job in year 6	-0.033	(.03)	-0.019	(.033)		
Enrollment in graduate school in year 6	-0.014	(.017)	0.036	(.016) **		

capsee

Visit our website at <u>capseecenter.org</u>

You can also follow us on Twitter at @capsee and like us on Facebook.

Center for Analysis of Postsecondary Education and Employment Teachers College, Columbia University

525 West 120th Street, Box 174, New York, NY 10027

TEL: 212.678.3091 | capsee@columbia.edu

CAPSEE is funded through a grant (R305C110011) from the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.